

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

	T		T		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/574,224	03/31/2006	Katsuji Uro	056937-0227	2149	
53080 7590 03/18/2009 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP			EXAMINER		
600 13TH STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			LEE, M	LEE, MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/18/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/574,224 URO ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit M. Lee 2622 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 March 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9-12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/574,224

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1, 2, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sakamoto (5.990.863).

Regarding claim 1, Sakamoto discloses an image display system showing a brightness controller 7, which meets the gain controller as claimed, and an inter-field difference calculator 16 and a brightness value memory 17, which meet the variation controller as claimed. The inter-field difference calculator 16 calculates a field difference in between two adjacent fields. The calculated difference is stored in the memory 17. Based on the magnitude of the difference value, the motion status of a field or a group of fields can be determined. That is if the magnitude of the difference value is greater than a predetermined threshold, the two successive fields are considered motion fields; otherwise, they are considered still fields. Depended on the length of the still video fields or the motion video fields, a group of fields is categorized or divided into either motion fields or still fields. For instance, a one second long still video image takes 60 fields or 30 frames to complete in NTSC standard. When the brightness value in memory 17 is applied to the brightness controller 7, the brightness of the video image is controlled so that different groups of video fields have different

Application/Control Number: 10/574,224

Art Unit: 2622

brightness adjustments. This meets the serially divided video signals as claimed. It should be noted that the minimum video unit in Sakamoto is the video fields.

Regarding claim 2, as explained above, an input video stream are being categorized into motion or still image groups depending on the motion content of the video fields.

Regarding claim 7, Sakamoto shows a memory (15, 20, and 21), a selector (19), and a memory controller (2, 4, and 19). The memory controller (2, 4, and 19) is synchronized with the video syncs.

Regarding claim 8, as shown in the Figure 5, the output of the selector 19 is coupled to the brightness controller 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakamoto (5,990,863).

Regarding claims 3-6, in addition of above, Sakamoto does not disclose the field rate and number of fields as claimed. However, Sakamoto is not limited to use only one television standard environment. In order to accommodate different standards, it would

Application/Control Number: 10/574,224 Page 4

Art Unit: 2622

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to modify Sakamoto. It would have been a matter of design choice.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 12 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 12 has not been further treated on the merits.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 9-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kitaura et al. (4,963,969) shows an amplitude gain control.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Lee whose telephone number 571-272-7349. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran, can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,224 Page 5

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/M. Lee/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2622